

## List of Figures

1.1	Example of Watermark (left) and Video Fingerprint (right) . . . . .	2
1.2	Example of modified video in content and temporal . . . . .	3
1.3	The proposed system to detect spatial video modification . . . . .	5
2.1	Structure of a German TV commercial block[24] . . . . .	6
2.2	Attacked Video with PIP[25] . . . . .	8
2.3	Example of Lena Image with Harris Corner Detection . . . . .	9
2.4	Man diving in the ice with Harris Corner Detection . . . . .	9
2.5	SIFT Descriptor Generation . . . . .	10
2.6	SIFT on Lena Image . . . . .	11
3.1	Spatially Modified Video Detection System Design . . . . .	13
3.2	Converting Video into Frame Block . . . . .	14
3.3	(a) A typical RGB color selector in graphic software (b) The RGB color model mapped to a cube . . . . .	15
3.4	Feature Extraction using DCT . . . . .	17
3.5	(a) Block left DCT for attack come from up side (b) Block left DCT for attack come from down side . . . . .	18
3.6	(a) Block right DCT for attack come from up side (b) Block right DCT for attack come from down side . . . . .	18
3.7	Flowcharts for Keyframe Generation . . . . .	21
3.8	Keyframe Sampling Blok . . . . .	22
3.9	Physical Filtering Block . . . . .	23
3.10	Scan from Top in Distance Block . . . . .	24
3.11	Scan from Left in Distance Block . . . . .	24
4.1	Key frame Sampling Process using N . . . . .	29
4.2	(a) Example of Static Scene (b) Example of Dynamic Scene . . . . .	30
4.3	Result of Experiment (Video Cut from 20% to 10%) . . . . .	32
4.4	Comparison of Modified Video Up13% Trailer05 with Original Trailer05 . . . . .	32
4.5	Comparison of Modified Video Down 13% Trailer05 with Original Trailer05 . . . . .	32
4.6	Comparison of Modified Video 10% Trailer05 with Trailer02 . . . . .	33
4.7	Average Time in second to Discover Attack . . . . .	34
1	Test video to find Threshold . . . . .	40
2	Resume Test video to find Threshold . . . . .	42
3	System Result for N=7,6,5 . . . . .	43
4	System Result for N=4,3,2 . . . . .	44
5	System Result for N=1 . . . . .	45
6	Result Experiment 1: 20%-17% Cut . . . . .	46
7	Result Experiment 2: 16%-13% Cut . . . . .	46
8	Result Experiment 3: 12%-10% Cut . . . . .	47