

# TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>APPROVAL PAGE</b>	
<b>ORIGINALITY STATEMENT SHEET</b>	
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>PREFACE</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURE</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLE</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>I INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.2 Formulation of Problem . . . . .	3
1.3 Objectives and Benefits . . . . .	3
1.4 Limitation of Problem . . . . .	3
1.5 Research Methods . . . . .	3
<b>II BASIC CONCEPT</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Ground Penetrating Radar . . . . .	5
2.2 GPR's Works Principle . . . . .	6
2.2.1 A-scan . . . . .	6
2.2.2 B-scan . . . . .	8
2.2.3 C-scan . . . . .	10
2.3 GPR Modelling Using VNA . . . . .	10
2.4 Propagation in Medium . . . . .	12
2.5 Stepped Frequency Continuous Wave . . . . .	12
2.6 Pulse Shaping . . . . .	14
2.6.1 Monocycle Pulse . . . . .	14
2.6.2 Gaussian Pulse . . . . .	15

2.6.3	Ricker Wavelet . . . . .	16
<b>III SYSTEM MODEL AND SIMULATION</b>		<b>17</b>
3.1	Framework . . . . .	17
3.2	Experiment Design . . . . .	17
3.3	Experiment Schema . . . . .	18
3.4	Implementation of Experiments . . . . .	18
3.4.1	Installation of Tools . . . . .	18
3.4.2	Calibration . . . . .	20
3.4.3	A-scan at One Sample Point . . . . .	21
3.4.4	B-scan . . . . .	22
<b>IV RESULT AND ANALYSIS</b>		<b>23</b>
4.1	Comparison of Pulse . . . . .	23
4.1.1	One Period with 0.585% Duty Cycle . . . . .	23
4.1.2	One Period 3.42% Duty Cycle . . . . .	23
4.1.3	One Period with 9.52% Duty Cycle . . . . .	25
4.2	Comparison of Resolution . . . . .	25
4.2.1	Monocycle Pulse . . . . .	25
4.2.2	Gaussian Pulse . . . . .	25
4.2.3	Ricker Wavelet . . . . .	29
4.3	Analysis about the Pulse and Scale . . . . .	29
<b>V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION</b>		<b>33</b>
5.1	Conclusion . . . . .	33
5.2	Suggestion . . . . .	33
<b>REFERENCE</b>		<b>34</b>
<b>ATTACHMENT A</b>		