

# CONTENTS

<b>APPROVAL</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>SELF DECLARATION AGAINST PLAGIARISM</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>PREFACE</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Rationale .....	1
1.2 Theoretical Framework.....	1
1.3 Conceptual Framework/Paradigm.....	2
1.4 Statement of the Problem .....	2
1.5 Objective and Hypotheses.....	3
1.6 Assumption .....	3
1.7 Scope and Delimitation .....	3
1.8 Significance of the Study.....	3
<b>2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND STUDIES</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Related Literatures .....	5
2.1.1 Zero-knowledge concept.....	5
2.1.2 Deniable Authentication.....	7
2.1.3 Keccak hash function.....	7
2.1.4 Impersonation Attack .....	10
2.1.5 Man-In-The-Middle attack (MITM) .....	11
2.2 Li-Takagi's Scheme and Its Security Analysis .....	12
2.2.1 Li-Takagi's Scheme .....	12
2.2.2 Security Analysis of Li-Takagi's Scheme .....	15

<b>3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 Overview of the Proposed Scheme .....	19
3.1.1 The Difference between the Previous and the Proposed Scheme.....	21
3.1.2 The Proposed Scheme using Zero Knowledge Concepts.....	21
3.2 Evaluation Scenario .....	29
3.3 Analysis .....	29
3.3.1 Performance of scheme .....	29
3.3.2 Probability of success attack.....	29
3.3.3 Provable security of the scheme.....	30
<b>4 PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1 Presentation of Data .....	31
4.1.1 Li-Takagi's Performance .....	31
4.1.2 Proposed Scheme Performance .....	32
4.2 Attacks on the Proposed Scheme .....	33
4.2.1 Impersonation Attack of the Proposed Scheme .....	33
4.2.2 Man-In-The-Middle attack (MITM) Attack on the Proposed Scheme .	34
4.2.3 Provable Security.....	36
4.3 Summary of Findings.....	42
<b>5 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>44</b>
5.1 Conclusions .....	44
5.2 Recommendations.....	44
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>A The algorithm and Complexity of The Large Integer Exponentiation in the Modulus</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>B The algorithm and Complexity of The Keccak Hash Function</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>C The algorithm and Complexity of Attacks on Li-Takagi and The Proposed scheme</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>D The encryption process in the receiver using the sender's public key</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>E The decryption process in the sender using the sender's secret key</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>F The xor-ed process of c2 and c1 or M and c1</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>G The encryption process in the sender using the receiver's public key</b>	<b>63</b>

