

DAFTAR TABEL

| | |
|---|----|
| Tabel 2. 1 Skala <i>Occurance</i> | 26 |
| Tabel 2. 2 Skala <i>Severity</i> | 26 |
| Tabel 2. 3 <i>Risk Matrix</i> | 27 |
| Tabel 2. 4 Model <i>House of Risk</i> Fase 1 (Sumber: Geraldin et al., 2009 | 31 |
| Tabel 2. 5 Model <i>House of Risk</i> Fase 2 (Sumber: Geraldin et al., 2009 | 34 |
| Tabel 3. 1 Identifikasi Sistem Terintegrasi | 41 |
| Tabel 4. 1 <i>Job Description CV. XYZ</i> | 46 |
| Tabel 4. 2 Relevansi <i>Risk Event</i> dan <i>Risk Agent</i> | 48 |
| Tabel 4. 3 <i>Risk Event</i> dan <i>Risk Agent</i> yang diterima | 57 |
| Tabel 4. 4 Kriteria Skala <i>Severity</i> | 65 |
| Tabel 4. 5 Kriteria Skala <i>Occurance</i> | 65 |
| Tabel 4. 6 Penilaian <i>Severity</i> | 66 |
| Tabel 4. 7 Penilaian <i>Occurance</i> | 67 |
| Tabel 4. 8 HOR Fase 1..... | 69 |
| Tabel 4. 9 <i>Correlation</i> antara <i>Risk Event</i> dan <i>Risk Agent</i> | 70 |
| Tabel 4. 10 Nilai ARP dari tiap <i>Risk Agent</i> | 74 |
| Tabel 4. 11 Kumulatif ARP | 75 |
| Tabel 4. 12 <i>Preventive Action</i> | 78 |
| Tabel 4. 13 HOR Fase 2..... | 83 |
| Tabel 4. 14 <i>Correlation</i> antara <i>Risk Agent</i> dan <i>Risk Event</i> | 84 |
| Tabel 4. 15 Hasil Penilaian TEK | 86 |
| Tabel 5. 1 Analisis Kelebihan dan Kekurangan Hasil Rancangan..... | 96 |