

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Karman, Rudi Deswanto, dan Sri Adhi Ningsih, “Implementasi *E-Government* Pada Pemerintah Daerah,” JSIP: Jurnal Studi Ilmu Pemerintahan 2, no. 2 (2021): 43-50.
- Ludi Awaludin, “Strategi Penguatan Kompetensi Sdm Teknologi Informasi&Komunikasi (TIK) Dalam Mengoptimalkan Penerapan Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik (SPBE),” Paradigma POLISTAAT: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik 2, no. 2 (2019): 118-134.
- Nuriyanto, “Penyelenggaraan Pelayanan Publik Di Indonesia, Sudahkah Berlandaskan Konsep “Welfare State”?,” Jurnal Konstitusi 11, no. 3 (2014): 429-453.
- V. No, G. I. Belo, Y. T. Wiranti, and L. H. Atrinawati, “Perancangan Tata Kelola Teknologi Informasi Menggunakan Cobit 2019 Pada Pt Telekomunikasi,” vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 23–30, 2020.
- Chrissis, M.B., Conrad, M., and Shrum, S., CMMI® for Development : Guidelines for Process Integration and Product Development, Pearson Education, Inc, Boston, Third Edition, 2011
- Moleong, Lexy J. (2017). Metode Penelitian Kualitatif, cetakan ke-36, Bandung : PT.Remaja Rosdakarya Offset
- Hendryadi, Tricahyadinata, I., & Zannati, R. (2019). Metode Penelitian: Pedoman Penelitian Bisnis dan Akademik. Jakarta: Lembaga Pengembangan Manajemen dan Publikasi Imperium (LPMP Imperium).
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing among Five Approaches (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Lehoux P., Poland B. and Daudelin, G. 2006. Focus group research and the patient's view. Social Science and Medicine.
- Carey, M.A. (1994). The group effect in focus groups: planning, implementing, and interpreting focus group research. In Critical Issues in Qualitative Research Methods (Morse J.M., ed.). Sage: Thousand Oaks, 225-241.
- Kitzinger, J. (1994). The methodology of focus group interviews: the importance of interaction between research participants. Sociology of Health and Illness, 16, 103-121.

- Twinn, S. (1998). An analysis of the effectiveness of focus groups as a method of qualitative data collection with Chinese populations in nursing research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 28, 3, 654-661.
- Howard, E., Hubelbank, J. & Moore, P. (1999). Employer evaluation of graduates: use of the focus group. *Nurse Educator*, 14(5), 38-41.
- Vašíčková, V. (2020). Crisis Management Process - A Literature Review and a Conceptual Integration. *Acta Oeconomica Pragensia*, 27(3–4), 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.18267/j.aop.628>
- Azmi, W., & Nurjannah, N. (2022). TEKNIK ASSERTIVE TRAINING DALAM PENDEKATAN BEHAVIORISTIK DAN APLIKASINYA KONSELING KELOMPOK: SEBUAH TINJAUAN KONSEPTUAL ASSERTIVE TRAINING TECHNIQUES IN BEHAVIORISTIC APPROACHES AND ITS APPLICATIONS GROUP COUNSELING: A CONCEPTUAL REVIEW. *Journal of Contemporary Islamic Counselling*, 2(2), 101–112.
- Sani, A., & Musa Yar', U. (2017). LITERATURE REVIEW IN CONTEXT: SUBSTANCES AND PROCEDURES European Journal of Social Sciences Studies LITERATURE REVIEW IN CONTEXT: SUBSTANCES AND PROCEDURES. Article in European Journal of Social Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1117966>
- Goldschmidt, T., Groenda, H., Krogmann, K., Kupperberg, M., Martens, A., Rathfelder, C., Reussner, R., & Stammel, J. (2008). Universität Karlsruhe.
- Nurdin, A., & Lubis, M. (2023). The IT Governance Measurement Using Cobit 5 Framework In Quality Assurance Department. *Jurnal Informatika Dan Rekayasa Perangkat Lunak*, 5(1), 80. <Https://Doi.Org/10.36499/Jinrpl.V5i1.7963>
- M. Ciesielska, "Implementation of Itil Service Lifecycle in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises of Polish Ict Sector," *Inf. Syst. Manag.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 85–96, 2017.
- Dr. Umar Sidiq, M.Ag Dr. Moh. Miftachul Choiri, M. (2019). Metode Penelitian Kualitatif Di Bidang Pendidikan. In *Journal Of Chemical Information And Modeling* (Vol. 53, Nomor 9). <Http://Repository.Iainponorogo.Ac.Id/484/1/Metode Penelitian Kualitatif Di Bidang Pendidikan.Pdf>

- Syahran, M. (2020). Membangun Kepercayaan Data Dalam Penelitian Kualitatif.
- Abdulkareem, A. K., & Ramli, R. M. (2021). Evaluating the performance of *E-Government*: Does citizens' access to ICT matter? Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities, 29(3), 1507–1534. <https://doi.org/10.47836/pjssh.29.3.03>
- Abdullah. (2017). AKREDITASI KEMRISTEKDIKTI Nomor 51/E/KPT/2017. Jurnal Teknologi Informasi Dan Ilmu Komputer (JTIK), 4, 297–303.
- Al-Saedi, A., & Pasławski, J. (2019). Influence of stakeholder management and communications management in choosing of the best product achieve quality by Analytic Hierarchy Process method. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 518(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/518/2/022068>
- Al-Sai, Z. A., Abdullah, R., & Husin, M. H. (2019). A review on big data maturity models. 2019 IEEE Jordan International Joint Conference on Electrical Engineering and Information Technology, JEEIT <https://doi.org/10.1109/JEEIT.2019.8717398> 2019 - Proceedings, 156–161.
- Alhanatleh, H., Aboalganam, K., & Awad, H. (2022). Electronic government *Public Value* of public institutions in jordan. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 6(1), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.5267/J.IJDNS.2021.10.007>
- Alhari, M. I., Febriyani, W., & Fajrillah, A. A. N. (2022). Meta-Analysis and Systematic Review: A Strategy and Dimension to Achieve of Smart Village Concept. 4th International Conference on Smart Sensors and Application: Digitalization for Societal Well-Being, ICSSA 2022, 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSSA54161.2022.9870963>
- Almazan, R. S., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. (2011). *E-Government* Portals in Mexico. Electronic Government, November 2020, 1726–1734. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-59904-947-2.ch131>
- Anza, F. A., Sensuse, D. I., & Ramadhan, A. (2017). Developing *E-Government* maturity framework based on cobit 5 and implementing in city level: Case study depok city and south tangerang city. International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics <https://doi.org/10.11591/eecsi.4.1076> (EECSI), 4(September), 713–718.

- Bannister, F., & Connolly, R. (2014). ICT, *Public Values* and transformative government: A framework and programme for research. *Government Information Quarterly*, 31(1), 119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2013.06.002>
- Bouty, A. A., Koniyo, M. H., & Novian, D. (2019). Evaluasi Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik Menggunakan *E-Government* Maturity Model (Kasus di Pemerintah Kota Gorontalo). *Jurnal Penelitian Komunikasi* <https://doi.org/10.33299/jpkop.23.1.1758> Dan Opini Publik, 23(1).
- Chebaro, B., Fakhoury, R., & Baker, D. S. (2020). Expanding *E-Government* adoption in an emerging market economy: An exploratory segmentation based on socio-demographic and behavioural variables. *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, 12(3), 243–258. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBEM.2020.109574>
- Chohan, S. R., & Hu, G. (2020). Success Factors Influencing Citizens' Adoption of IoT Service Orchestration for *Public Value* Creation in Smart Government. *IEEE Access*, 8, 208427–208448. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3036054>
- Chohan, S. R., Hu, G., Si, W., & Pasha, A. T. (2020). Synthesizing *E-Government* maturity model: a *Public Value* paradigm towards digital Pakistan. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 14(3), 495–522. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-11-2019-0110>
- Cook, M., & Harrison, T. M. (2015). Using *Public Value* thinking for government IT planning and decision making: A case study. *Information Polity*, 20(2–3), 183–197. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IP-150359>
- Cordella, A., & Paletti, A. (2019). Government as a platform, orchestration, and *Public Value* creation: The Italian case. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4), 101409. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101409>
- Das, A., Singh, H., & Joseph, D. (2017). A longitudinal study of *E-Government* maturity. *Information and Management*, 54(4), 415–426. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2016.09.006>
- Deng, H., Karunasena, K., & Xu, W. (2018). Evaluating the performance of *E-Government* in developing countries: A *Public Value* perspective. *Internet Research*, 28(1), 169–190. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IntR-10-2016-0296>

- Fath-Allah, A., Cheikhi, L., Al-Qutaish, R. E., & Idri, A. (2016). A Measurement-Based E Government Portals' Maturity Model. October, 129–149. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1 4666-9908-3.ch008>
- Faulkner, N., & Kaufman, S. (2018). Avoiding Theoretical Stagnation: A Systematic Review and Framework for Measuring *Public Value*. Australian Journal of Public Administration, 77(1), 69–86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12251>
- Gupta, P. J., & Suri, P. K. (2018). Analysing the Influence of Improved Situation, Capability Level of Actors and Flexible Process Workflow on *Public Value* of E-Governance Projects in India. Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, 19(4), 349–372. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40171-018-0198-4>
- Hanifa, N., & Noviaristanti, S. (2021). Maturity analysis of *E-Government* in West Java public service websites. Contemporary Research on Business and Management, 191–193. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003196013-47>
- Hawa, P., & Salomo, R. V. (2020). Kesiapan Digitalisasi Layanan Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik Pada Badan Pengkajian dan Penerapan Teknologi (BPPT). Restorica: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi Negara Dan Ilmu Komunikasi, 6(1), 8–19. <https://doi.org/10.33084/restorica.v6i1.1251>
- Hiller, J. S. (n.d.). Privacy Strategies for Electronic Government Center for Global Electronic Commerce Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University Center for Global Electronic Commerce Pamplin College of Business Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.
- Hu, G., Pan, W., Lin, H., Kang, K., & Best, M. L. (2014). Study on the Framework of e Government Services Capability: An Empirical Investigation. Social Science Computer Review, 32(1), 56–73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439313501614>
- I Made Sukarsa, Ida Bagus Ananda Paramartha, Anak Agung Ketut Agung Cahyawan, Kadek Suar Wibawa, Putu Gede Arya Sumertha Yasa, Ni Made Swasti Wulanyani, & Ni Wayan Wisswani. (2020). Evaluation of *E-Government* Maturity Models in Sub-District Public Services in Indonesia Using the SPBE Framework. Jurnal RESTI (Rekayasa Sistem Dan Teknologi Informasi), 4(2), 243–253. <https://doi.org/10.29207/resti.v4i2.1825>

- Jain Gupta, P., & Suri, P. (2017). Measuring *Public Value* of e-governance projects in India: citizens' perspective. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 11(2), 236–261. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-07-2016-0043>
- Jakobsson, U., Lecturer, S., Westergren, A., & Lecturer, S. (2005). Jakobsson, U., & Westergren, A. (2005). Statistical methods for assessing agreement for ordinal data. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences*, 19(4), 427–431. 427–431.
- Janowski, T., Estevez, E., & Baguma, R. (2018). Platform governance for sustainable development: Reshaping citizen-administration relationships in the digital age. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(4), S1–S16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.09.002>
- Ju, J., Liu, L., & Feng, Y. (2019). Public and private value in citizen participation in E governance: Evidence from a government-sponsored green commuting platform. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(4), 101400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.101400>
- Karunasena, K., & Deng, H. (2012a). A citizen-oriented approach for evaluating the performance of *E-Government* in Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 8(1), 43–63. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jeqr.2012010103>
- Karunasena, K., & Deng, H. (2012b). Critical factors for evaluating the *Public Value* of e government in Sri Lanka. *Government Information Quarterly*, 29(1), 76–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2011.04.005>
- Karunasena, K., Deng, H., & Singh, M. (2011). Measuring the *Public Value of E-Government*: A case study from Sri Lanka. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 5(1), 81–99. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17506161111114671>
- Kementerian PAN dan RB. (2022). Paparan Jelang Pemantauan dan Evaluasi SPBE 2022.
- Larsson, K. K. (2021). Digitization or equality: When government automation covers some, but citizens. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(1), 101547. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101547>
- Layne, K., & Lee, J. (2001). Developing fully functional *E-Government*: A four stage modelfile:///C:/Users/ASUS/Downloads/Ch-

- EgovPortalsinMexico.pdf. Government Information Quarterly, 18(2), 122–136. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X\(01\)00066-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X(01)00066-1)
- Lee, J. (2010). 10year retrospect on stage models of *E-Government*: A qualitative meta synthesis. Government Information Quarterly, 27(3), 220–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2009.12.009>
- Lopes, K. M. G., Macadar, M. A., & Luciano, E. M. (2019). Key drivers for *Public Value* creation enhancing the adoption of electronic public services by citizens. International Journal of Public Sector Management, 32(5), 553–568. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM 03-2018-0081>
- MacLean, D., & Titah, R. (2022). A Systematic Literature Review of Empirical Research on the Impacts of *E-Government*: A *Public Value* Perspective. Public Administration Review, 82(1), 23–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13413>
- Maragno, G., Gastaldi, L., & Corso, M. (2021). *E-Government* for *Public Values* creation: A systematic literature review. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 386–397. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3463677.3463692>
- Masyhur, F. (2017). Penelitian *E-Government* di Indonesia: Studi Literatur Sistematis dari Perspektif Dimensi Pemeringkatan *E-Government* Indonesia (PeGI). JURNAL IPTEKKOM : Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan & Teknologi Informasi, 19(1), 51. <https://doi.org/10.33164/iptekkom.19.1.2017.51-62>
- Meijer, A., & Boon, W. (2021). Digital platforms for the co-creation of *Public Value*. Policy and Politics, 49(2), 231–248. <https://doi.org/10.1332/030557321X16115951032181>
- Mellouli, M., Bouaziz, F., & Bentahar, O. (2020). *E-Government* success assessment from a *Public Value* perspective. International Review of Public Administration, 25(3), 153–174. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12294659.2020.1799517>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Academia and Clinic Annals of Internal Medicine Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses : Annals of Internal Medicine, 151(4), 264–269.
- Mossey, S., Bromberg, D., & Manoharan, A. P. (2019). Harnessing the power of mobile technology to bridge the digital divide: a look at U.S. cities' mobile

government capability. *Journal of Information Technology and Politics*, 16(1), 52–65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2018.1552224>

Napitupulu, D. B. (2017). Pengujian Kerangka Kerja Pemeringkatan *E-Government* di Indonesia (PeGI): Studi Kasus di Tingkat Kementerian. *Jurnal Penelitian Komunikasi*, 20(1), 15–30. <https://doi.org/10.20422/jpk.v20i1.123>

Okunola, O. M., & Rowley, J. (2019). User experience of *E-Government*: the Nigeria Immigration Service. *Library Hi Tech*, 37(3), 355–373. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHT-09 2018-0138>

Osei-Kojo, A. (2017). *E-Government* and public service quality in Ghana. In *Journal of Public Affairs* (Vol. 17, Issue 3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.1620>

Pang, M. S., Lee, G., & Delone, W. H. (2014). In public sector organisations: A public-value management perspective. *Journal of Information Technology*, 29(3), 187–205. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2014.2>

Pereira, G. V., Macadar, M. A., Luciano, E. M., & Testa, M. G. (2017). Delivering *Public Value* through open government data initiatives in a Smart City context. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(2), 213–229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-016-9673-7>

Reddick, C. G. (2004). A two-stage model of *E-Government* growth: Theories and empirical evidence for U.S. cities. *Government Information Quarterly*, 21(1), 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2003.11.004>

Rehman, M., Kamal, M. M., & Esichaikul, V. (2016). Adoption of *E-Government* Services in Pakistan: A Comparative Study Between Online and Offline Users. *Information Systems Management*, 33(3), 248–267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2016.1188570>

Salmoria, F. T., Félix Júnior, L. A., de Guimarães, J. C. F., Nodari, C. H., & Guimarães, L. G. de A. (2021). *Public Value* in the Perception of Citizens from the Perspective of Smart Cities. In *BAR - Brazilian Administration Review* (Vol. 18, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-7692BAR2021200116>

Sangki, J. (2018). Vision of future *E-Government* via new *E-Government* maturity model: Based on Korea's *E-Government* practices. *Telecommunications Policy*, 42(10), 860–871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2017.12.002>

- Scott, M., Delone, W., & Golden, W. (2016). Measuring eGovernment success: A *Public Value* approach. European Journal of Information Systems, 25(3), 187–208. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2015.11>
- Shahruddin, S., & Zairul, M. (2020). BIM requirements across a construction project lifecycle: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 12(5), 569–590.
- Soegiyono. (2011). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D.
- Twizeyimana, J. D., & Andersson, A. (2019). The *Public Value* of *E-Government* – A literature review. Government Information Quarterly, 36(2), 167–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.01.001>
- Verkijika, S. F., & De Wet, L. (2018). Quality assessment of *E-Government* websites in Sub Saharan Africa: A *Public Values* perspective. Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries, 84(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/isd2.12015>
- Zhang, Y., & Kimathi, F. A. (2022). Exploring the stages of *E-Government* development from *Public Value* perspective. Technology in Society, 69(February), 101942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.101942>
- E. E. Supriyanto, “Kebijakan Inovasi Teknologi Informasi (IT) Melalui Program Elektronik Goverment dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pelayanan Publik di Indonesia,” J. Ilmu Pemerintah. Kaji. Ilmu Pemerintah. dan Polit. Drh., vol. 1, no. 1, p. 141, 2016, doi: 10.24905/jip.v1i1.438.
- I Made Sukarsa et al., “Evaluation of *E-Government* Maturity Models in Sub-District Public Services in Indonesia Using the SPBE Framework,” J. RESTI (Rekayasa Sist. dan Teknol. Informasi), vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 243–253, 2020, doi: 10.29207/resti.v4i2.1825.
- N. Putu, J. Astuti, M. Sukarsa, I. Made, and S. Raharja, “Analisis Aspek Penerapan SPBE pada Salah Satu Kabupaten di Indonesia,” 2021.
- V. Dwiana Putri, S. Fajar, S. Gumilang, R. A. Nugraha, ) Jurusan, and S. Informasi, “Arsitektur Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik (SPBE) Pada Domain Aplikasi di Lingkungan Daerah Kabupaten Kuningan.”
- Z. Esa Anugrah, S. Zakaria, I Darmawan, “Evaluasi Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik (SPBE) di Kota Bekasi Tahun 2020”, “2022”

A. Aziz Bouty, M Hidayat Koniyo, D Novian, “*The Evaluation Of Electronic Based Government*”, “2019”.

Dwiyanto, Agus. Mewujudkan good governance melalui pelayanan publik.Ugm Press, 2021.

Mustanir, Ahmad, and Adam Latif. "Penerapan Prinsip Good Governance Terhadap Aparatur Desa Dalam Pelayanan Publik Di Desa Ciro-Ciroe Kecamatan Watang Pulu Kabupaten Sidenreng Rappang." *PRAJA: Jurnal Ilmiah Pemerintahan* 8.3 (2020): 207-212.

“EGOVKB &gt; about &gt; overview &gt; *E-Government development index*,” United Nations, <https://publicadministration.un.org/egovkb/en-us/About/Overview/-E-Government-Development-Index> (accessed Dec. 15, 2023).

Peraturan Presiden Nomor 81 Tahun 2010 tentang Grand Design Reformasi Birokrasi 2010 – 2025.

B. Pramono, P. Daerah, and K. Pontianak, “*E-Government Implementation Evaluation in Local Government Agency in Pontianak Regency*,” 2019.

Indrajit, Ricardus Eko, Akbar Zainudin dan Dudy Rudianto. 2005. *E-Government In Action (Ragam Kasus Implementasi Sukses di Berbagai Belahan Dunia)*. Yogyakarta: Andi.

Dewi Anggraini dan Syaifuddin Islami, “Pengembangan Layanan *E-Government* Di Pemerintahan Daerah Provinsi Sumatera Barat Pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19,” *Ensiklopedia of Journal* 3, no. 3 (2021): 341-353.

I Wayan Muka, Made Adi Widyatmika, dan I Ketut Gde Darma Putra, “Pengembangan Rencana Induk Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik Provinsi Bali,” *Jurnal Bali Membangun Bali* 1, no. 3 (2020): 254-276.