

# CONTENTS

<b>APPROVAL PAGE</b>	
<b>SELF DECLARATION AGAINST PLAGIARISM</b>	
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>PREFACE</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>I INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.2 Problem Identification . . . . .	3
1.3 Objective and Contributions . . . . .	4
1.4 Scope of Work . . . . .	4
1.5 Research Methodology . . . . .	4
1.6 Organization of The Thesis . . . . .	5
<b>II BASIC CONCEPTS FOR QUANTUM IMAGE HIDING</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Digital Image . . . . .	7
2.2 Classification of a general data security system . . . . .	11
2.3 Quantum Information Hiding . . . . .	13
2.4 Qubit . . . . .	15
2.5 Quantum Gates . . . . .	16
2.6 Superposed and Entangled Qubits . . . . .	21
2.7 Flexible Representation of Quantum Images . . . . .	22

2.8	Taylor Series . . . . .	24
2.9	Performance Metrics . . . . .	25
<b>III SYSTEM MODEL AND THE PROPOSED DESIGN</b>		<b>28</b>
3.1	System Model of Quantum Image Hiding . . . . .	28
3.2	Embedding Process . . . . .	30
3.3	Extraction Process . . . . .	32
3.4	Rotation Gate . . . . .	33
3.5	Quantum Channel Attack on Pauli Gate (X, Y, Z) . . . . .	42
<b>IV PERFORMANCE EVALUATION</b>		<b>47</b>
4.1	Data Specifications . . . . .	47
4.2	Testing Scenario . . . . .	48
4.3	Analysis of the Impact of Test Parameters on the Imperceptibility of Watermarked Images . . . . .	49
4.4	Analysis of the Impact of Watermarking Methods on the Robustness of Extracted Watermarked Images Without Attack . . . . .	52
4.5	Analysis of the Impact of Watermarking Methods on the Robustness of Extracted Watermarked Images With Attack . . . . .	55
4.6	Comparison of Robustness in Quantum Information Hiding with and without Attack . . . . .	59
<b>V CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS</b>		<b>60</b>
5.1	Conclusions . . . . .	60
5.2	Future Works . . . . .	61
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>62</b>