

# CONTENTS

<b>APPROVAL</b>	ii
<b>SELF DECLARATION AGAINST PLAGIARISM</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>DEDICATION</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	vii
<b>CONTENTS</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Background . . . . .	1
1.2 Problem Identification . . . . .	2
1.3 Objective and Hypotheses . . . . .	3
1.4 Scop and Delimitation . . . . .	4
<b>2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE AND STUDIES</b>	7
2.1 Related Literatures . . . . .	7
2.1.1 Mobile Edge Computing (MEC) . . . . .	7
2.1.2 Offloading in MEC . . . . .	7
2.1.3 Machine Learning . . . . .	8
2.1.4 Deep Reinforcement Learning . . . . .	9
2.1.5 Deep Neural Networks . . . . .	10
2.1.6 Deep Reinforcement Learning-based Online Offloading (DROO) . . .	10
2.2 Related Studies . . . . .	13
2.2.1 Evaluation Metrics . . . . .	13
2.2.2 Training and Testing Data Division . . . . .	14
2.2.3 Loss Learning in DRL for MEC Offloading . . . . .	14
2.2.4 Optimization Algorithms in DRL for MEC Offloading . . . . .	15
2.2.5 Significance of the Evaluation Metrics . . . . .	21

<b>3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1 Research Design . . . . .	22
3.2 System Implementation . . . . .	25
3.2.1 System Description . . . . .	26
3.2.2 Formulas Used . . . . .	27
3.2.3 System Architecture . . . . .	27
3.2.4 Algorithm Implementation . . . . .	28
3.2.5 Component Integration . . . . .	28
3.3 Experiment Scenario . . . . .	28
3.3.1 Experimental Environment . . . . .	29
3.3.2 Experimental Setup . . . . .	29
3.3.3 Tested Parameters . . . . .	29
3.3.4 Pseudocode Placement . . . . .	30
3.3.5 Experimental Iterations . . . . .	32
3.4 Evaluation and Measurement . . . . .	32
3.4.1 Model Convergence Evaluation . . . . .	32
3.4.2 Normalized Computation Rate . . . . .	33
3.4.3 Total Time Consumed . . . . .	33
3.4.4 Average Time per Channel . . . . .	34
3.4.5 Loss Learning Measurement . . . . .	34
3.5 Tools for Data Analysis . . . . .	34
<b>4 PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA</b>	<b>36</b>
4.1 Presentation of Data . . . . .	36
4.1.1 Performance Evaluation Under Varying Load Conditions . . . . .	36
4.1.2 Performance Evaluation Under Varying Iteration Counts . . . . .	40
4.1.3 Performance Evaluation Using Nadam Optimizer . . . . .	44
4.1.4 Improving Nadam . . . . .	48
4.2 Analysis of the experiment result . . . . .	50
4.2.1 Performance Modified Nadam under Alternated Load Conditions . .	50
4.2.2 Performance Modified Nadam under Normal Load Conditions . . .	53
4.2.3 Analysis Performance Modified Nadam . . . . .	57
<b>5 CONCLUSION</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>64</b>

<b>A MISCELLANEOUS</b>	<b>66</b>
A.1 Experiment Results Under Normal Load . . . . .	66
A.2 Experiment Results Under Alternate Load . . . . .	67
A.3 Training Loss Analysis . . . . .	68