variants, with only 33.33% packets lost, representing a minimal percentage. In contrast, TCP Reno has the highest packet loss percentage, with 36.50% packets lost. TCP Westwood NR falls in between, with a packet loss percentage of 35.59% packets. Thus, TCP Westwood shows the lowest packet loss percentage among the tested TCP variants

Table VII Throughput Of Topology 2

In Table VII. Throughput in Topology 2 above indicates that TCP Westwood outperforms other TCP variants in terms of data transmission speed, with a throughput of 45396.09 bits/sec. In comparison, TCP Westwood NR achieves a throughput of 29765.16 bits/sec, while TCP Reno has a throughput of 23397.93 bits/sec. This makes TCP Reno the one with the slowest throughput among the other two TCP variants.

Table VIII Total Delay Of Topology 2

In Table VIII. The total delay in Topology 2 above illustrates the time it takes for data to transfer from one point to another. TCP Westwood exhibits the shortest delay, at 1.527140 seconds.TCP Westwood NR experiences a delay of 2.204676 seconds, indicating that it is slower than TCP Westwood In contrast, TCP Reno has the longest delay, with a duration of 3.900570 seconds.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that TCP Westwood outperforms TCP Westwood NR and TCP Reno in the context of Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) used for building structure condition monitoring. Through the use of NS-2 simulation, the results reveal that TCP Westwood provides significantly more stable throughput, lower delay, and reduced packet loss, indicating its superior ability to maintain high-quality data transmission under congested network conditions. This performance is critical in realtime monitoring systems where continuous, reliable data flow is essential for the accurate assessment of structural integrity. The adaptive bandwidth estimation mechanism of TCP Westwood plays a pivotal role in its ability to mitigate congestion and prevent packet loss, making it a more effective choice for WSNs in building structure monitoring compared to other TCP variants. These findings suggest that TCP Westwood offers a more robust and efficient solution for ensuring the reliability and accuracy of data in dynamic and congestion-prone network environments.

Acknowledgment

This paper is supported by PPM of Telkom University research grant entitled "SIMULATION AND ANALYSIS OF TCP RENO ON WIRELESS SENSORS NETWORK FOR TOPOLOGY MONITORING STRUCTURAL CONDITIONS BUILDING" no "613/LIT06/PPM-LIT/2024" and Multimedia University research grant "IoT-based Water Quality Monitoring System for Anomaly Detection Using Deep Learning Approaches" no "MMU/RMC/MATCHINGGRANT/25".

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Casetti, M. Gerla, S. Mascolo, M. Y. Sanadidi, and R. Wang, "TCP Westwood: End-to-end congestion control for wired/wireless networks," Wirel. Networks, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 467–479, 2002, doi: 10.1023/A:1016590112381.
- [2] T. Saedi and H. El-Ocla, "TCP CERL+: revisiting TCP congestion control in wireless networks with random loss," Wirel. Networks, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 423–440, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s11276-020-02459-0.
- [3] S. Patil, "Performance Improvement of TCP Westwood by Dynamically Adjusting Performance Improvement of TCP Westwood by Dynamically Adjusting Congestion Window in Wireless Network," vol. 22, no. September, pp. 166–177, 2022.
- [4] A. Fakhroni, "Implementasi algoritme tcp westwood+ untuk mengurangi dampak kongesti pada jaringan lte," 2018.
- [5] N. S. Ns, G. K. Permatasari, and I. Santoso, "Kongesti Pada Jaringan Lte Dengan Menggunakan," vol. 33, no. layer 4.
- [6] Y. I. Suryanto, Sukiswo, and A. A. Zahra, "Analisis Kinerja Zigbee (802.15. 4) Wsn Pada Topologi Tree Dan Star Mode Non Beacon Menggunakan Network Simulator 2," Transient J. Ilm. vol. VOL.4, NO., 2015, [Online]. Available: https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/transient/article/view/10 028
- [7] M. Majid et al., "Applications of Wireless Sensor Networks and Internet of Things Frameworks in the Industry Revolution 4.0: A Systematic Literature Review," Sensors, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1-36, 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22062087.
- [8] S. Mascolo, L. A. Grieco, R. Feroelli, P. Camarda, and G. Piscitelli, "Performance evaluation of Westwood+ TCP congestion control," Perform. Eval., vol. 55, no. 1–2, pp. 93– 111, 2004, doi: 10.1016/S0166-5316(03)00098-1.
- [9] I. Dwi Hemawati and A. Ajulian Zahra, "Analisis Kinerja Tcp Westwood Plus Untuk Pencegahan Kongesti Pada Jaringan Lte Menggunakan Network Simulator 3 (Ns 3)," vol. 3, no. Ns 3, 2016.
- [10] H. H. Nuha and F. A. Y, "Metode Pengaturan Throughput Untuk Tcp Westwood + Pada Saluran Bottleneck," vol. 2010, no. semnasIF, pp. 38–43, 2010.
- [11] M. Gerla, M. Y. Sanadidi, Ren Wang, A. Zanella, C. Casetti and S. Mascolo, "TCP Westwood: congestion window control using
bandwidth estimation," GLOBECOM'01. IEEE Global bandwidth estimation," GLOBECOM'01. IEEE Global Telecommunications Conference 2001.
- [12] F. Kelly, Mathematical modeling of the Internet, in: Proceedings of the Fourth International Congress on Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Edinburgh, July 1999.
- [13] J. Padhye, V. Firoiu, D. Towsley, J. Kurose, Modeling TCP throughput: a simple model and its empirical validation, in: Proceedings of the ACM Sigcomm'98, Vancouver, BC, 1998, pp. 303–314.
- [14] Lim, C. (2020). Improving Congestion Control of TCP for
Constrained IoT Networks. Sensors. 20(17). 4774. Sensors, 20(17), 4774. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20174774
- [15] Spencer, B. F., & Ruiz-Sandoval, M. E. (2003). Smart sensing technology for structural health monitoring. Journal of Structural Control, 10(1), 101-108.
- [16] Lynch, J. P., & Loh, K. J. (2006). A summary review of wireless sensors and sensor networks for structural health monitoring. The Shock and Vibration Digest, 38(2), 91-128.