

	T-C	95%	95%	95%	95%
	S-C	89%	90%	89%	89%
	T-S	76%	80%	76%	75%
	T-C-S	92%	92%	92%	92%
Logistic Regressi on	T	85%	85%	85%	85%
	C	91%	92%	91%	91%
	S	91%	91%	91%	91%
	T-C	89%	90%	89%	89%
	S-C	92%	92%	92%	92%
	T-S	92%	92%	92%	92%
	T-C-S	94%	94%	94%	94%

B. Discussion

Based on the analysis of results of each model in the Tables 4 – Tables 8, it can be seen that CNN shows outstanding performance, reaching 100% in accuracy, precision, recall, and f1-score on both test and validation datasets. This indicates that the CNN in the model in this study excels in feature extraction and has a remarkable capacity for generalization to new data. With no signs of overfitting or underfitting, the model is believed to be adept at learning features and generalizing to unprecedented datasets. CNN is the optimal model for this study due to its outstanding ability to identify patterns in images. In addition, CNN is clearly capable of outperforming several machine learning models, specifically SVM, Random Forest, and Logistic Regression. Despite the good results on testing machine learning models, the findings from CNN were superior.

The second method used, Ensemble Learning with Voting Classifier, significantly improved the model, especially the T-C feature combination, achieving performance results of 97% on test data and 98% on validation data in accuracy, precision, recall, and f1-score. Although there is a slight difference in the test and validation results of the ensemble model, the performance of this model is quite stable with high accuracy, so it can be said that this model also works well in this study even though its performance cannot equal the CNN results.

CONCLUSION

Based on the evaluation of model performance on validation and test data, the results obtained show excellent performance to address the limitations of previous research, with an accuracy range of 97% for test and 98% for validation for the ensemble learning voting classifier model and 100% for the CNN model in both test and validation. The small difference between the validation and test results indicates that the model can generalize the new data very well, without showing signs of overfitting/underfitting. The ensemble learning performance itself has not been able to outperform CNN in this study. But in general, the CNN and ensemble learning models are quite stable and able to provide accurate predictions to detect the spoofing videos. For future research, it is recommended to explore training with the latest models that are more accurate in making predictions, and consider

using more alternative feature extraction methods. This can help improve the performance of the model in the face of more diverse data variations.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Wang and W. Deng, "Deep Face Recognition: A Survey," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 429, no. 14, pp. 215-244, 2020.
- [2] R. B. Hadiprakoso, H. Setiawan and Girinoto, "Face Anti-Spoofing Using CNN Classifier & Face liveness Detection," in *2020 3rd International Conference on Information and Communications Technology*, Yogyakarta, 2020.
- [3] A. A. Mohamed, M. M. Nagah, M. G. Abdelmonem, M. Y. Ahmed, M. El-Sahhar and F. H. Ismail, "Face Liveness Detection Using a sequential CNN," in *2021 IEEE 11th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC)*, Nevada, 2021.
- [4] S. Ganorkar, S. Rajankar and G. Rajpurohit, "Face Liveness Detection Using Machine Learning," *International Journal Of Scientific & Technology Research*, vol. 8, no. 09, pp. 337-340, 2019.
- [5] A. O'Brien, "Spoof: A barrier to the acceptance of Facial Recognition Systems," Innovative Technology Ltd, 11 November 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.intelligent-identification.com/spoof-a-barrier-to-the-acceptance-of-facial-recognition-systems>. [Accessed 26 November 2024].
- [6] M. A. Malik, T. Mazhar, I. Haq, T. Shahzad, Y. Y. Ghadi, F. Maleek and H. Hamam, "A Novel Deep Learning-Based Method for Real-Time Face Spoof Detection," *Research Square*, 29 September 2023.
- [7] M. Marais, J. Connan, D. L. Brown and A. Z. Boby, "Facial Liveness and Anti-Spoofing Detection using Vision Transformers," in *Southern Africa Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference (SATNAC) 2023*, Champagne Sports Resort, 2023.
- [8] S. Mondal, "Implementation of Human Face and Spoofing Detection Using Deep Learning on Embedded Hardware," in *2020 11th International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT)*, Kharagpur, India, 2020.
- [9] Kaspersky Company, "What is Spoofing – Definition and Explanation," Kaspersky, 19 February 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.kaspersky.com/resource-center/definitions/spoofing>. [Accessed 26 November 2024].
- [10] trainingdata.pro, "iBeta 1 - 42,280 Liveness Detection Dataset," Training Data, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/trainingdataprob/i-beta-level-1-liveness-detection-dataset-part-1>. [Accessed October 2024].
- [11] B. Purnama, Pengantar Machine Learning Konsep dan Praktikum dengan Contoh Latihan Berbasis R dan Python, Bandung, Jawa Barat: Informatika Bandung, 2019.
- [12] S. Khairnar, S. Gite, K. Kotecha and S. D. Thepade, "Face Liveness Detection Using Artificial Intelligence Techniques: A Systematic Literature Review and Future Directions," *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1-35, 2023.
- [13] L. P. R. Noviana, I. P. E. Indrawan and G. I. Setiawan, "Analysis of Canny Edge Detection Method for Facial Recognition in Digital Image Processing," *Jurnal Manajemen dan Teknologi Informasi (JMTI)*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 15-22, 2024.
- [14] A. Octaviani, D. S. Prasvita, K. R. T. Zulkarnain and S. Hinggit, "Klasifikasi Tingkat Kematangan pada Buah Rambutan Berdasarkan Fitur Warna Menggunakan KNN dan Ekstraksi Warna HSV," in *Seminar Nasional Mahasiswa Ilmu Komputer dan Aplikasinya (SENAMIKA)*, Jakarta, 2021.
- [15] A. Yilmaz, A. A. Demircali, S. Kocaman and H. Uvet, *Comparison of Deep Learning and Traditional Machine Learning Techniques for Classification of Pap Smear Images*, Istanbul: arXiv, 2020.