

#### PETRI NET MODELLING OF CONCURRENCY CONTROL IN DISTRIBUTED DATABASE SYSTEM

#### Djoko Haryono<sup>1</sup>, Jimmy Tirtawangsa<sup>2</sup>, Bayu Erfianto<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Magister Teknik Informatika, Fakultas Teknik Informatika, Universitas Telkom

#### Abstrak

Masa transaksi dapat dibagi menjadi dua tahap: tahap eksekusi dan tahap committing. Tahap eksekusi, transaksi akan mengkases data melaui suatu mekanisme kontrol konkurensi, sementara itu pada tahap committing, suatu protocol commit akan dieksekusi untuk memastikan property atomicity. Suatu transaksi yang meminta suatu lock dapat di blok oleh transaksi lain yang sedang ada di tahap committing untuk waktu yang lama karena penundaan waktu dalam menyelesaikan prosedur commit. Adanya penundaan waktu yang lama oleh suatu transaksi dalam menyelesaikan tahap committing membuat kontrol konkurensi menunggu hingga transaki menyelesaikan tahap committing. Dalam penelitian ini akan memodifikasi agar kontrol konkurensi dapat memberikan lock yang sedang di pegang oleh transaksi lain yang dalam proses penyelesaian fase committing. Kontrol konkurensi akan dimodelka menggunakan Petri Net. Hasil simulasi telah menunjukan peningkatan throughput untuk commit oleh suatu transaksi, tetepi isu mengenai adanya abort dari suatu transaksi mempunyai dampak yang signifikan terhapap kontrol konkurensi, simulasi telah menujukan adanya peningkatan abort dari suatu transaksi.

Kata Kunci : Sistem Basis Data Terdistribusi, Kontrol Konkurensi, Protokol Commit, Petri Net, GSPN

#### Abstract

The life time of transaction is divided into two stages: executing stage and committing stage. At the executing stage, transaction access data through a concurrency control, while at the committing stage, a commit protocol is executed to ensure failure atomicity. A transaction that requests a lock can be blocked by a committing transaction for a long time due to a long delay in completing the committing procedure. The potential long delay in transaction commitment makes concurrency control wait until transaction finish the committing stage. This study will modify concurrency control, the modified of concurrency control allows give the locks that are still on hold by another transaction in their completion of committing stage. In modeling the concurrency control, Petri Net is used. The simulation has show increase the commit throughput of transaction, but the issue of abort transaction has significant impact to modified concurrency control, the simulation has show increase the abort throughput of transaction.

Keywords : Distributed Database Systems, Concurrency Control, Commit Protocol, Petri Net, GSPN

University



# **CHAPTER 1: THE PROBLEM**

#### 1.1 Rationale

A transaction is considered as sequences of read and write operations on database together with computation steps [2]. A transaction can be thought of as a program with embedded database access queries. Let us first consider transaction according to their application areas. If data is distributed, the management of the transaction becomes more involved in coordinating the transactions and this may require special measures. The transactions that operate on distributed data are commonly known as distributed transactions. Data distribution offer opportunities for improving performance through parallel query execution. In order to reap the potential performance benefits, the cost of maintaining data consistency must be kept at an acceptable level in spite of added complexity of the environment.

The life time of transaction is divided into two stages: execution stage and committing stage [4]. During the execution stage, transactions access data through a concurrency control, while in the committing stage, a commit protocol is executed to ensure failure atomicity. For example, in Two Phase Locking protocol, if a transaction in executing stage requests data which is being locked by another transaction in conflicting modes, then the lock request will be blocked until the lock released. The lock of data cannot be released until the transaction completes the committing stage. A transaction that requests a lock can be blocked by a committing transaction for a long time due to a long delay in completing the commit procedure. The potential long delay in the committing stage will block the transaction that needs access to a data item. The concurrency control cannot access the data in their committing stage.

In the concurrency control area, this challenge has led to the development of a large number of concurrency control algorithms. The potential long delay in transaction commitment makes concurrency control wait until transaction finishes its committing stage. This is an important problem for the performance of transaction in distributed database systems. This study present a modification of concurrency control algorithms that use the commit protocol in distributed database system as an aid to concurrency control.

#### **1.2 Theoretical Framework**

Based on the characteristics of concurrency control and commit protocol, modifications in concurrency control are required to improve the performance of distributed database system which integrates concurrency control and committing protocol. For distributed databases system, locking based concurrency control algorithms are used. A transaction must have a lock before process a data.

In this study, modified concurrency control algorithm will integrate commit method by Haritsa *et al.* [3] to improve the concurrency. Haritsa *et al.* has shown using simulation, their commit protocol allow optimistically borrow data currently in the committing stage. This protocol can reduce missing deadline in real time database. The modified concurrency control allows give the locks that are still on hold by another transaction in their completion of committing stage. In modeling the concurrency control, Petri Net is used.



## 1.3 Problem Statement

How to improve the performance of distributed database systems by modifying locking based concurrency control using the concept of resource borrowing and lending from Haritsa's *et al.* committing protocol?

### 1.4 Hypothesis

The potential long delay in the committing stage will block the transaction that will access a data item. Allowing borrowing mechanism concept for accessing the resources during blocking time may improve the performance of distributed database systems.

#### 1.5 Assumption

- 1. The transactions have a long delay in finishing the commitment stage.
- 2. The transactions can by using one operation either single read or single write access a data item.
- 3. The operations in transaction access only one data item at one time in distributed database systems.
- 4. The issues of supporting real-time communication and the impact of different network issues on system performance will not be addressed.
- 5. It is assumed that the network has no failure condition.
- 6. It is assumed that the network has enough capacity to support the transmission of message.
- 7. It is assumed of negligible delay in communication.

#### **1.6** Scope of the Research

- 1. This study characterized transaction only on the basis of their read and update operation without considering the insertion and deletion.
- 2. There is no replication of distributed databases systems.

## 1.7 Importance of the Study

Improve the throughput performance of transaction in distributed database systems using borrowing mechanism that is integrated with locking based concurrency control.



### **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 5.1 Conclusions

Concurrency control is an important issue in design of Distributed Database System. There is a potential long delay for transaction to finish the commit protocol in the Distributed Database System. This potential long delay has been utilized by our modified concurrency control using concurrency control with borrowing mechanisms.

The simulation has increased the throughput of committing transaction, but the issue of the aborted transaction has significant impact to our concurrency control. This occurred when the aborting transactions occur more often because the borrowers depended to their lender.

#### 5.2 **Recommendations**

Our performance studies are based on the assumption that there is no replication. Hence, a study of relative performance of the topic discussed here deserves a further study under assumption of replicated distributed database system.

There is a need for modeling Petri Net for multiple sites. The multiple sits will shown the performance of the concurrency control for distributed database system on multiple sites.





#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [1] P.A. Bernstein and N.Goodman, "Concurrency Control in Distributed Database Systems", Addison-Wesley, U.S.A., 1981.
- [2] M. Tamer Ozsu and Patrick Valduriez, "Principles of Distributed Database Systems", Prentice Hall, U.S.A., 1991.
- [3] J. R. Haritsa, K. Ramamritham, and R. Gupta, "The PROMPT real time commit protocol," IEEE Transaction on Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp.160–181, 2000.
- Y. Lam, C.L. Pang, S. H. Son, and J. Cao, "Resolving executing-committing conflicts in distributed real-time database systems", Computer Journal, Vol. 42, No. 8, pp.674–692, 1999.
- [5] James L. Peterson, "Petri Nets", Computing Surveys, Vol 9, No. 3, U.S.A, 1977.
- [6] M. Ajone Marsan, G. Balbo, S. Donatelli, G. Franceschinis, "Modeling with Generalized Stochastic Petri Nets", Jhon Willey & Sons, England, 1995.
- [7] Roberta A. A. Fagundes, Paulo R. M. Maciel, and Nelson S. Rosa, "Performance Eveluation of CORBA Concurrency Control Service Using Stochastic Petri Nets", RITA, Vol 14, 2007.

# **Telkom** University